

Alert | Class Action Litigation/Retail

October 2017

Massachusetts Businesses Face Consumer Class Actions Alleging Improper Product Substitution

The Massachusetts Consumer Protection Act (Chapter 93A) protects consumers against unfair or deceptive business practices and allows consumers, under certain circumstances, to bring class actions against businesses to stop such practices and seek damages. Generally, unless a business responds to a presuit demand letter with a reasonable settlement tender, violation of Chapter 93A requires payment of actual or statutory (\$25.00) damages, whichever is greater, and attorneys' fees as well as exposes a business to double or treble damages. In a class action setting, the aggregation of such damages produces significant risk and potential exposure.

Recently, putative Chapter 93A class-action lawsuits have been filed against businesses (coffee purveyors) that allegedly have given consumers a butter substitute, like margarine, with the purchase of a baked good – but still charged consumers for butter. The plaintiffs in these cases assert this alleged practice violates several Massachusetts statutes and regulations and, therefore, constitutes an unfair or deceptive business practice under Chapter 93A – entitling them and all like consumers multiple damages plus attorneys' fees.

To state a claim under Chapter 93A, however, a consumer must allege some harm or injury, separate and distinct from the underlying statutory violation (among other things). Whether the plaintiffs in the recent lawsuits actually suffered a separate and distinct injury likely will turn on whether they paid a "price premium" for butter but received only a lower cost non-butter substitute. For example, assume that a business charges \$2.25 and \$2.12 for butter and margarine on a bagel, respectively. Assume further that a customer ordered butter, paid \$2.25, but received a bagel with margarine. Arguably, the consumer overpaid for the "buttered" bagel by \$.13. Under Chapter 93A, this could entitle the consumer to statutory damages of \$25.00. If the consumer could certify a class action and prove similar injuries, the business could face the same \$25.00 statutory damages award for *each* bagel with butter substitute sold (for the bagel with butter price) over the past four years (the statute of limitations under Chapter 93A).



As a result, it is important for businesses to immediately review their practices to determine if they are substituting products or otherwise giving consumers something of less value than advertised and potentially creating exposure under Chapter 93A.

Author

This *GT Alert* was prepared by **David G. Thomas** and **James P. Ponsetto**. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- David G. Thomas | +1 617.310.6040 | thomasda@gtlaw.com
- James P. Ponsetto | +1 617.310.6073 | ponsettoj@gtlaw.com
- Or your Greenberg Traurig attorney.

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boca Raton. Boston. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany. Houston. Las Vegas. London.* Los Angeles. Mexico City.+ Miami. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Sacramento. San Francisco. Seoul. Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv. Tokyo. Warsaw. Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ¬Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. *Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ¤Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2017 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.

© 2017 Greenberg Traurig, LLP www.gtlaw.com | 2