



June 2017

## Nevada Gaming Commission Provides More Flexibility in Considering Gaming Applicants

The Nevada Gaming Control Act (Act) requires an applicant for a gaming license or other approval to appear first before the Nevada Gaming Control Board (Board) on the application. The applicant has the burden of proof and must affirmatively seek the Board's support and its recommendation to the Nevada Gaming Commission (Commission). The Board can recommend (i) approval of the application, (ii) denial of the application, or (iii) a limited approval, which could be limited in duration and/or include conditions.

Prior to Assembly Bill 75 (2017) (AB 75), which was signed by Governor Sandoval on May 23, 2017, if the Board recommended denial of the application, the Commission's only options would be to (i) deny the application by a majority vote, (ii) remand the matter to the Board for further investigation and reconsideration, or (iii) overturn the Board's recommendation and approve the application, by unanimous vote of the commissioners present at the meeting.

This process applies to all applications, registrations, findings of suitability, and approvals made or requested under the Act for either a natural person or business entity.

AB 75 amended this process to provide the Commission with more discretion in processing an application for which the Board has recommended a denial. Specifically, in addition to the above options, the Commission now may "reject" the application. A rejection of the application would not constitute a determination of the suitability of the applicant and it would not be considered a denial of the application.

Tony Alamo, M.D., Chairman of the Commission, was the proponent of this legislative change to the application process. In testimony before the Senate Committee on Judiciary, Chairman Alamo noted that a denial of an application has severe consequences to the individual or entity. For example, a denied applicant would not be able to conduct any sort of business with a gaming licensee and would be *de facto* barred in the Nevada gaming industry. Such denial may also impact the individual or entity in other gaming jurisdictions.

The new application "rejection" option provides the Commission additional flexibility to halt an application from moving forward if, after consideration and hearing on the application, the Commission determines that a denial of the application is too severe or otherwise inappropriate. In such a situation, the Commission can now reject the application. This provides the Commission an additional option to consider when evaluating a particular applicant's situation. As Chairman Alamo noted before the Senate Committee on Judiciary, "It is simply another option, the key to which is not to be on that [denial] list."

AB 75 also amended the Act's definition of what constitutes the "manufacture" of gaming devices. Details of such amendment are available here.

This *GT Alert* was prepared by **Mark A. Clayton** and **Erica L. Okerberg**. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- > Mark A. Clayton | +1 702.599.8006 | claytonm@gtlaw.com
- > Erica L. Okerberg | +1 702.599.8073 | okerberge@gtlaw.com
- > Or your Greenberg Traurig attorney

Amsterdam	Denver	Northern Virginia	Tallahassee
+ 31 20 301 7300	+1 303.572.6500	+1 703.749.1300	+1 850.222.6891
Atlanta	Fort Lauderdale	Orange County	Tampa
+1 678.553.2100	+1 954.765.0500	+1 949.732.6500	+1 813.318.5700
Austin	Houston	Orlando	Tel Aviv^
+1 512.320.7200	+1 713.374.3500	+1 407.420.1000	+03.636.6000
Berlin-	Las Vegas	Philadelphia	Tokyo¤
+49 (0) 30 700 171 100	+1 702.792.3773	+1 215.988.7800	+81 (0)3 4510 2200
Berlin-GT Restructuring	London*	Phoenix	Warsaw~
+49 (0) 30 700 171 100	+44 (0)203 349 8700	+1 602.445.8000	+48 22 690 6100
Boca Raton	Los Angeles	Sacramento	Washington, D.C.
+1 561.955.7600	+1 310.586.7700	+1 916.442.1111	+1 202.331.3100
Boston	Mexico City+	San Francisco	Westchester County
+1 617.310.6000	+52 55 5029.0000	+1 415.655.1300	+1 914.286.2900
Chicago	Miami	Seoul∞	West Palm Beach
+1 312.456.8400	+1 305.579.0500	+82 (0) 2.369.1000	+1 561.650.7900
Dallas	New Jersey	Shanghai	
+1 214.665.3600	+1 973.360.7900	+86 (0) 21.6391.6633	

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ¬Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ¬Berlin - GT Restructuring is operated by Köhler-Ma Geiser Partnerschaft Rechtsanwälte, Insolvenzverwalter. \*Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. \*\*Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP.  $\sim$ Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. Agreenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA.  $\bowtie$ Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP.  $\sim$ Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2017 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.