

Alert | Transportation & Automotive



January 2018

ECJ Hands Down Decision on Uber in the EU

The European court of justice (ECJ) has ruled that the U.S. company Uber is a transport services company, requiring it to accept stricter regulation and licensing within the EU as a taxi operator. **This decision** in Luxembourg, after a challenge brought by taxi drivers in Barcelona, will apply across the whole of the EU, including the UK, and cannot be appealed.

EU rules on the freedom to provide services expressly exclude transport. The lawyers for Barcelona's Asociación Profesional Elite Taxi argued that Uber was directly involved in carrying passengers so Uber is a transport company. Uber denied it was a transport company, arguing it was a computer services business with operations that should be subject to an EU directive governing e-commerce and prohibiting restrictions on the establishment of such organisations.

In its ruling, the ECJ said an "intermediation service", "the purpose of which is to connect, by means of a smartphone application and for remuneration, non-professional drivers using their own vehicle with persons who wish to make urban journeys, must be regarded as being inherently linked to a transport service and, accordingly, must be classified as 'a service in the field of transport' within the meaning of EU law". Thus, the ECJ found that Uber provides an intermediation service.

The court also pointed out that Uber exercised "decisive influence" over the conditions under which drivers provided their services. Such an intermediation service, the ECJ concluded, must be regarded as forming an integral part of an overall service, the main component of which is transport.

Consequently, the ECJ concluded that Uber is a transportation firm and not a digital company, which means its services must be excluded from the scope of the freedom to provide services in general as well as the directive on services in the internal market and the directive on e-commerce. This also means member states can regulate the conditions for providing that service, according to the ECJ ruling. Therefore, Uber must now deal more closely with local governments that set transportation rules and licensing requirements.

Key Takeaways

The intermediation service of Uber is inherently linked to a transportation service, which makes Uber a transport services company, not a digital company.

As a result of the ECJ ruling, Uber now faces national regulation in up to 28 member states, and will now have to deal more closely with local governments.

Author

This GT Alert was prepared by **Hans Urlus**[¥]. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- **Hans Urlus** | +31 (0) 20.301.7324 | urlush@gtlaw.com
- Or your **Greenberg Traurig attorney**

[¥]Special thanks to Monisha Sardjoe for her valuable contribution to this Alert.

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boca Raton. Boston. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany.[~] Houston. Las Vegas. London.* Los Angeles. Mexico City.+ Miami. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Sacramento. San Francisco. Seoul.[∞] Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv.[^] Tokyo.[∞] Warsaw.[~] Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. [~]Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ^{}Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. ⁺Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [∞]Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. [^]Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. [∞]Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [~]Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2018 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*