

requirement, which means one need not intend to violate the law, nor even be aware of the law to violate it. U.S. sanctions apply to all U.S. companies and U.S. persons wherever they are located in the world, and can also reach non-U.S. companies who deal in U.S. origin goods, import into the United States, or process financial transactions in U.S. dollars.

In [OFAC's press release about the ELF settlement](#), it admonished ELF for a “non-existent or inadequate” compliance program at the time of the alleged violations and noted that its supplier audits focused only on quality assurance issues, not country-of-origin verification. While North Korean materials may create sanctions risks for U.S. companies, likewise could any dealings with OFAC-sanctioned banks or entities, which are located around the world. Numerous financial institutions in Asia and Russia, for example, are targets of OFAC sanctions. Any payments processed directly or indirectly with an OFAC-sanctioned bank may create liability for a U.S. importer or multinational.

Companies relying on Chinese manufacturing and sourcing, as those in the fashion and beauty industries often do, may learn from ELF's mistakes and implement what OFAC refers to as “full-spectrum supply chain due diligence.” Specifically, ELF earned mitigation credit for undertaking the following enhancements to its overall compliance program:

- Supply chain audits that verify country-of-origin of goods and services
- Supplier certifications of compliance with all U.S. export controls and trade sanctions
- Supplier audits that include verification of payment information related to production materials and the review of supplier bank statements
- Outside legal counsel engagement to train key employees in the United States and China regarding U.S. sanctions and other relevant laws and regulations
- Mandatory new-hire and recurring U.S. sanctions training for key-personnel employees and suppliers in China

These enhancements are essential not only to help ensure compliance with the law, but also to increase supply-chain transparency, which has become a major issue for consumers purchasing fashion and beauty items. Today's consumers are demanding transparency and ethical corporate responsibility from brands, so it is no secret that these issues significantly influence the shopping decisions of consumers – particularly millennials. A robust enhancement to ELF's compliance program could have saved the company's public image by increasing control of and visibility into its supply chain.

Effective compliance programs also maximize protection from significant enforcement action in the event a violation occurs. Enforcement agencies such as OFAC give mitigation credit to those who have a reasonable and risk-based compliance program, with the understanding that no compliance program can prevent 100% of possible violations. In fact, strong compliance programs may even position companies to mitigate civil penalties altogether, with the only agency action being issuance of a Warning or No Action letter. While ELF's program enhancements came after the alleged violations took place, they went a long way to mitigating a potentially significant civil penalty.

Effective compliance programs encompass risk-based policies and procedures, and communicate expectations and requirements both in writing and training to key personnel. Companies relying on China sourcing would be well-served to consider North Korea material and sanctions risks and adopt appropriate policies and procedures to adequately address these before legal violations occur.

Separate and apart from OFAC's economic sanctions, U.S. importers also face scrutiny and enforcement action from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for any imports into the United States that involve the product of North Korean forced labor (under the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act of August 2017 (CAATSA)). CAATSA restricts entry into the United States of goods made with North Korean labor. Under CBP guidance, it presumes that goods made with North Korean labor involve forced labor, with the burden of proof on the importer. Like OFAC, CBP adopts a strict liability standard, so the only way to overcome this presumption is to have a best-in-class supply chain due diligence program. While ELF's imports predated enactment of CAATSA, it is hard to believe that had the imports entered the United States after August 2017, ELF would not be facing allegations of North Korean forced labor in its supply chain.

This case highlights the risks companies face when sourcing their goods from China and the importance of having a robust supply chain compliance program. Not only is such a program essential to potentially avoiding a government enforcement action and a large fine, but it is responsible and ethical corporate behavior. Ethical consumerism is a growing and important part of modern culture, and it mandates that companies that source globally be transparent about their supply chains. Those who fail to do so are at risk both from a liability perspective and from a business perspective. Reputationally, a brand is only as strong as its weakest link in the supply chain.

Originally published in *Law360*. (subscription)

Authors

This GT Alert was prepared by **Kara M. Bombach** and **Danielle N. Garno**. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- **Kara M. Bombach** | +1 202.533.2334 | bombachk@gtlaw.com
- **Danielle N. Garno** | +1 305.579.0735 | garnod@gtlaw.com
- Or your **Greenberg Traurig attorney**

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boca Raton. Boston. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany.[†] Houston. Las Vegas. London.* Los Angeles. Mexico City.+ Miami. Minneapolis. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Sacramento. San Francisco. Seoul.[∞] Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv.[^] Tokyo.[‡] Warsaw.[~] Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

*This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. †Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. *Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ‡Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2019 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*