

Alert | Brexit



September 2019

Brexit: Unlawful Prorogation Means Continued UK Parliament Scrutiny of Brexit Plans

In a historic decision issued today, 24 September 2019, the UK Supreme Court ruled that the UK prime minister, Boris Johnson, acted unlawfully when he advised the Queen to prorogue, or suspend, the UK Parliament for five weeks, until 14 October 2019.

The effect of the very clear and unanimous decision of the 11 Supreme Court judges is that Parliament was not in fact suspended and can immediately resume its work.

This in turn means that Parliament has more time to scrutinise the government's Brexit plans, as opposed to having to wait until 14 October to do so, and that it can continue to reject a no-deal Brexit by insisting on an extension to the Brexit timetable if Mr Johnson does not agree to new withdrawal terms with the EU at a council meeting on October 17-18.

Delivering the ruling, the President of the Supreme Court, Lady Hale, said that the effect of the prorogation on the fundamentals of UK democracy was extreme. The prime minister's decision to advise the Queen to prorogue Parliament was unlawful because it had the effect of frustrating or preventing the ability of Parliament to carry out its constitutional business, without any reasonable justification. The only evidence put to the Court had been a memorandum that did not consider the impact of prorogation on the special procedure for scrutinising the delegated legislation necessary for achieving an orderly withdrawal from the EU with or without an agreement on 31 October 2019, and did not discuss what parliamentary time would be needed to secure parliamentary approval for any new agreement between the EU and UK.

The Court quashed both Mr Johnson's advice to the Queen and the Order in Council that subsequently gave effect to the prorogation, and said that it was for the Speakers of the House of Commons and House of Lords to decide on how to proceed.

The Court's decision comes after a three-day hearing on two separate appeals. It upholds a ruling of the Scottish Court of Session, that the prorogation was unlawful, and dismisses a ruling of the English High Court, that prorogation was not an issue on which the courts had jurisdiction to rule. Both proceedings involved a challenge to the prorogation on the basis that Mr Johnson was using it to prevent parliamentary scrutiny of his plans for Brexit and not, as he argued, to allow time to present the government's policies for the next parliamentary session.

Prime Minister Johnson's position in light of the ruling is not yet wholly clear. The Supreme Court's decision records that Mr Johnson's lawyers told the Court that he will take all necessary steps to comply with any ruling it might make. Mr Johnson himself has said he respects the ruling, but he has also said he strongly disagrees with it and that he will get on and deliver Brexit on 31 October 2019. In addition, the ruling has prompted calls for his resignation. The Court held that, having found the effect of the prorogation to be unlawful, it did not need to go on to consider whether Mr Johnson's motive or purpose was unlawful. However, the wording of the judgment delivers strong criticism, in particular the finding that the prorogation led to prolonged suspension of parliamentary democracy in "quite exceptional circumstances". The Court noted that this was not the normal prorogation routinely used in the run-up to presentation of the government's new policies for the next parliamentary session.

In the meantime, members of Parliament started to make their way back to Parliament almost as soon as the decision was made public, with parliamentary business starting again in full on 25 September.

Although the Supreme Court decision resolves an important constitutional issue, it does not alter the lack of a parliamentary majority in favour of any Brexit solution, on 31 October or by any extended deadline.

For more on Brexit, click [here](#).

Author

This GT Alert was prepared by **Gillian Sproul**. Questions about this information can be directed to:

The GT London Brexit Team:

- [Gillian Sproul](#) | + 44 (0) 203.349.8861 | sproulg@gtlaw.com
- [Addiped Cheng](#) ‡ | +44 (0) 203.349.8700 | chenga@gtlaw.com
- [Simon Harms](#) | +44 (0) 203.349.8767 | harmss@gtlaw.com
- Or your [Greenberg Traurig](#) attorney

‡Qualified in Hong Kong. Not qualified in England and Wales.

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boca Raton. Boston. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany. † Houston. Las Vegas. London. * Los Angeles. Mexico City. † Miami. Milan. * Minneapolis. Nashville. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Sacramento. San Francisco. Seoul. ∞ Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv. ^ Tokyo. * Warsaw. - Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

*This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ~Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. *Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. »Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Santa Maria, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ¢Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2019 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*