


**Alert | Health Emergency Preparedness Task Force:  
Coronavirus Disease 2019**



June 2020

## **LIBOR Transition Within the Context of COVID-19**

Amid the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, “steady as she goes” seems the current position of the different regulators in charge of coordinating an orderly transition from LIBOR to alternative reference rates, in particular, after the **UK’s Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) confirmed on April 29, 2020**, that “firms cannot rely on LIBOR being published after the end of 2021”.

Notwithstanding that the **FCA has extended the deadline** for ending the use of the LIBOR interest rate benchmark in new loans until the end of March 2021 (from September 2020), giving more time to banks already dealing with COVID-19-related issues, the FCA has stayed course and not extended the use of LIBOR past the original deadline of end of 2021.

Meanwhile, authorities around the world are preparing for the discontinuation of LIBOR as originally announced. For example, **regulators in Australia and South Korea** have encouraged market participants to assess their exposure to LIBOR and begin their transition to alternative rates. In the United States, the Alternative Reference Rates Committee (ARRC) (convened by the Federal Reserve Board and the New York Fed) continues to chart the course by providing its own **list of objectives for 2020** and a **list with recommended best practices for the cessation of U.S. dollar LIBOR**, “doubling down” on its early decision to use the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) as the alternative rate for the U.S. market after LIBOR is no longer quoted. In addition, questions persist in the United States about the viability of SOFR given recent volatility and the lack of a forward-looking term SOFR rate.

It is possible that the FCA will not further extend the use of LIBOR, and that the ARRC will not select a different rate as the recommended alternative reference rate. However, the FCA and/or ARRC may change their minds. For example, if SOFR spreads widen considerably from LIBOR during this economic crisis, as they did in a backtrack calculation during the 2008 Financial Crisis, pressure may grow from market participants to find an alternative rate. Further, some of the COVID-19 stimulus loan programs in the United States, intended to provide relief and liquidity during the crisis, are currently indexed in LIBOR (and not SOFR), because “quickly implementing new systems to issue loans based on SOFR would require diverting resources from challenges related to the pandemic.” ([see question G.3 in the Federal Reserve’s FAQs in connection with The Main Street Lending Program](#)).

The crisis created by COVID-19 has made market participants change priorities to address more urgent matters, and has placed transition efforts on the back-burner. As such, banks and other market participants may not be working to determine their exposure to existing contracts referencing LIBOR, outlining plans of action, changing internal models, modifying their operational systems, and further, engaging counterparties in renegotiating – when necessary – documentation that may not properly provide for a useful alternative reference rate.

COVID-19 disrupted the world as we know it. With economies around the world having to adapt to a new reality, financial institutions may wish to prioritize plans related to an orderly transition to alternative reference rates. Indeed, pre-cessation triggers may hit before 2021 if LIBOR becomes unrepresentative of London interbank offered rates. In such a case, the LIBOR disruption may occur well before it was planned by any market participant.

Read [GT’s LIBOR Transition Newsletter](#), where we provide updates, analysis, and occasional commentary on the latest developments relating to the highly anticipated phasing-out of LIBOR at the end of 2021 – just 19 months from now.

For more information and updates on the developing COVID-19 situation, visit [GT’s Health Emergency Preparedness Task Force: Coronavirus Disease 2019](#).

## Authors

This GT Alert was prepared by:

### USA

- [Oscar Stephens](#) | New York | +1 212.801.9202 | [stephenso@gtlaw.com](mailto:stephenso@gtlaw.com)
- [Sylvie A. Durham](#) | New York | +1 212.801.6923 | [durhams@gtlaw.com](mailto:durhams@gtlaw.com)
- [Paul J. Ferak](#) | Chicago | +1 312.476.5013 | [ferakp@gtlaw.com](mailto:ferakp@gtlaw.com)
- [Arleen A. Nand](#) | Minneapolis | +1 612.259.9711 | [nanda@gtlaw.com](mailto:nanda@gtlaw.com)
- [Susan E. D. Neuberg](#) | Washington, D.C. | +1 202.331.3100 | [neubergs@gtlaw.com](mailto:neubergs@gtlaw.com)

### Europe

- [Graeme McLellan](#) | London | +44 (0) 203.349.8729 | [mcllellang@gtlaw.com](mailto:mcllellang@gtlaw.com)
- [Emma L. Menzies](#) | London | +44 (0) 203.349.8720 | [menziese@gtlaw.com](mailto:menziese@gtlaw.com)
- [Wietse de Jong](#) | Amsterdam | +31 (0) 20.301.7355 | [dejongw@gtlaw.com](mailto:dejongw@gtlaw.com)

- **Dr. Kati Beckmann** | Berlin | +49 30.700.171.215 | [kati.beckmann@gtlaw.com](mailto:kati.beckmann@gtlaw.com)
- **Corrado Angelelli** | Milan | + (39) 02.771971 | [Corrado.Angelelli@gtlaw.com](mailto:Corrado.Angelelli@gtlaw.com)
- **Aleksander Janiszewski** | Warsaw | +48 22.690.6103 | [janiszewskia@gtlaw.com](mailto:janiszewskia@gtlaw.com)

## **Japan**

- **Koichiro Ohashi** | Tokyo | +81 (0) 3.4510.2207 | [ohashik@gtlaw.com](mailto:ohashik@gtlaw.com)

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boca Raton. Boston. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany.~ Houston. Las Vegas. London.\* Los Angeles. Mexico City.+ Miami. Milan.\* Minneapolis. Nashville. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Sacramento. San Francisco. Seoul.∞ Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv.^ Tokyo.\* Warsaw.~ Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

*This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ~Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. \*Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. »Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Santa Maria, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ¢Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2020 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*