

Alert | Trademark & Brand Management



September 2022

Trademark Owner Liable to Consumer for Product Defect, European Court of Justice Rules

A case that originated in Finland concerned liability for a defective product and the concept of “producer” under EU trademark law. A coffee machine (the Philips Saeco Xsmall HD8743/11) manufactured by Saeco, a Romanian subsidiary of Philips, caught fire. The machine contained trademarks of both Saeco and Philips. The unlucky consumer that got a fire instead of coffee decided – through its insurance company – to seek liability damages against Philips.

The Supreme Court of Finland referred questions to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) and asked whether a trademark owner that puts its trademark to a product should also be considered a “producer” pursuant to Article 3(1) of the EU Directive 85/374 on liability for defective products.

Philips argued it was not liable because it was not involved in actual production, and therefore could not be considered a “producer”. The ECJ, which is the highest Court in Europe and can overrule national European courts, disagreed:

(...) it should be noted that, by putting his name, trademark or other distinguishing feature on the product at issue, the person who presents himself as a producer gives the impression that he is involved in the production process or assumes responsibility for it. Accordingly, by using such particulars, that person is effectively using his reputation in order to make that product more attractive in the eyes of consumers which, in return, justifies his liability being incurred in respect of that use.

Furthermore, the ECJ ruled that:

Accordingly, contrary to what Koninklijke Philips maintains, it must be held that, in the case in the main proceedings, a division of liability between that company and Saeco International Group has no effect in relation to consumers, who must specifically be relieved of the burden of having to determine the actual producer in order to bring claims for damages.

So, trademark owners are liable to consumers for a product defect and moreover, consumers should not have to figure out who is the actual producer of their defective product to claim damages. However, this does not mean the respective internal reciprocal liability between trademark owner and actual producer – or manufacturer – is the same.

Key Takeaway

In their contracts with manufacturer licensees, trademark owners should ensure that liability for defects is governed properly or, if such agreement on mutual liability is not agreed upon, owners should strictly monitor the quality of the products their licensees produce to reduce the risk of being held liable.

Authors

This GT Alert was prepared by:

- [Thomas van Weeren](#) | +31 20 301 7410 | Thomas.vanWeeren@gtlaw.com
- [Radboud Ribbert](#) | +31 20 301 7333 | Radboud.Ribbert@gtlaw.com

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boston. Charlotte. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany. [~]Houston. Las Vegas. London. ^{*}Long Island. Los Angeles. Mexico City. ⁺Miami. Milan. [»]Minneapolis. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Portland. Sacramento. Salt Lake City. San Francisco. Seoul. [∞]Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv. [^]Tokyo. [»]Warsaw. ⁻Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. [~]Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ^{}Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. ⁺Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [»]Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Santa Maria, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [∞]Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. [^]Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. [»]Greenberg Traurig's Tokyo Office is operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho and Greenberg Traurig Gaikokuhojimubengoshi Jimusho, affiliates of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [~]Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2022 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*