

Alert | Antitrust Litigation & Competition Regulation



January 2026

2026 HSR Merger Notification Thresholds & Fees Announced

Go-To Guide

- FTC raises merger notification thresholds, with initial reporting starting at \$133.9 million, up from \$126.4 million.
- The updates also adjust the six-tier filing fee system, with fees now ranging from \$35,000-\$2,460,000 based on deal size.
- FTC also updates limits on interlocking directorates.
- The new filing thresholds apply to transactions closing on or after Feb. 15, 2026.

On Jan. 14, 2026, The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) announced revised thresholds and fees for premerger notifications under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (HSR Act). The agency published the thresholds on Jan. 16, 2026. These changes include updated size-of-transaction thresholds for mergers and acquisitions, as well as increased filing fee tiers and fees for larger transactions, as required by the Merger Filing Fee Modernization Act of 2022 (Fee Modernization Act).

Congress first amended the HSR Act in 2000 to require annual adjustments of notification thresholds based on the change in gross national product (GNP). The Fee Modernization Act replaced the prior three-tier filing fee system with corresponding transaction size thresholds with a six-tier filing fee system

based on transaction value. The tiers set forth below are also adjusted annually based on GNP change. The fees within each tier increase annually based on the percentage change in the consumer price index, comparing the most recent fiscal year ending in September to the previous fiscal year.

The FTC also published revisions to the thresholds that trigger, under Section 8 of the Clayton Act, a prohibition preventing companies from having interlocking memberships on their corporate boards of directors. These revisions represent the annual adjustment of thresholds based on GNP changes.

Revised HSR Act Thresholds

The initial threshold for a HSR Act notification increases from \$126.4 million to \$133.9 million. For transactions valued between \$133.9 million and \$535.5 million (increased from \$505.8 million), the size-of-person test continues to apply. That test makes the transaction reportable only if one party has sales or assets of at least \$267.8 million (increased from \$252.9 million) and the other party has sales or assets of at least \$26.8 million (increased from \$25.3 million). All transactions valued at more than \$535.5 million are reportable regardless of party size.

The new thresholds apply to transactions closing on or after Feb. 15, 2026.

The following chart summarizes the threshold adjustments:

PRIOR THRESHOLD	REVISED THRESHOLD
Size-of-transaction test	
more than \$126.4 million	more than \$133.9 million
Size-of-person test	
\$25.3 million/\$252.9 million	\$26.8 million/\$267.8 million
Transaction value above which size-of-person test is inapplicable	
\$505.8 million	\$535.5 million

The amendments will adjust all notification thresholds as follows:

NOTIFICATION LEVELS	
more than \$50 million	more than \$133.9 million
\$100 million	\$267.8 million
\$500 million	\$1,339 million
25% of total outstanding shares worth more than \$1 billion	25% of total outstanding shares worth more than \$2.678 billion
50% of total outstanding shares worth more than \$50 million	50% of total outstanding shares worth more than \$133.9 million

These notification threshold adjustments also adjust upward thresholds applicable to certain exemptions, such as those involving the acquisition of foreign assets or voting securities of foreign issuers.

Revised HSR Filing Fee Thresholds

The chart below shows the new filing fee schedule, which applies to transactions filed on or after Feb. 16, 2026.

NEW FILING FEE LEVELS	
Size of Transaction*	Fee**
Less than \$189.6 million	\$35,000
\$189.6 million or greater, but less than \$586.9 million	\$110,000
\$586.9 million or greater, but less than \$1.174 billion	\$275,000
\$1.174 billion or greater, but less than \$2.347 billion	\$440,000
\$2.347 billion or greater, but less than \$5.869 billion	\$875,000
\$5.869 billion or greater	\$2,460,000

* Adjusted annually based on GNP.

** Adjusted annually when the CPI increases by more than 1% compared to the baseline CPI from Sept. 30, 2023.

Revised Section 8 Thresholds

The FTC also published revisions to the thresholds that trigger a prohibition preventing companies from having interlocking memberships on their corporate boards of directors under Section 8 of the Clayton Act. These revised thresholds take effect on Jan. 16, 2026.

Section 8 prohibits a “person,” which can include a corporation and its representatives, from serving as a director or officer of two “competing” corporations, unless one of the following exemptions applies:

- either corporation has capital, surplus, and undivided profits of less than \$54,402,000 (increased from \$51,380,000);
- the competitive sales of either corporation amount to less than \$5,440,200 (increased from \$5,138,000);
- the competitive sales of either corporation amount to less than 2% of that corporation’s total sales; or
- the competitive sales of each corporation amount to less than 4% of each corporation’s total sales.

“Competitive sales” means “the gross revenues for all products and services sold by one corporation in competition with the other, determined on the basis of annual gross revenues for such products and

services in that corporation's last completed fiscal year." "Total sales" means "the gross revenues for all products and services sold by one corporation over that corporation's last completed fiscal year."

Authors

This GT Alert was prepared by:

- Stephen M. Pepper | +1 212.801.6734 | Stephen.Pepper@gtlaw.com
- Justin P. Hedge | +1 202.331.3130 | Justin.Hedge@gtlaw.com
- Rebecca Tracy Rotem | +1 202.533.2341 | rotemr@gtlaw.com

Abu Dhabi¹, Albany, Amsterdam, Aspen, Atlanta, Austin, Berlin², Boston, Charlotte, Chicago, Dallas, Delaware, Denver, Dubai³, Fort Lauderdale, Houston, Las Vegas, London⁴, Long Island, Los Angeles, Mexico City⁵, Miami, Milan⁶, Minneapolis, Munich⁷, New Jersey, New York, Northern Virginia, Orange County, Orlando, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Portland, Riyadh⁸, Sacramento, Salt Lake City, San Diego, San Francisco, São Paulo⁹, Seoul¹⁰, Shanghai, Silicon Valley, Singapore¹¹, Tallahassee, Tampa, Tel Aviv¹², Tokyo¹³, Warsaw¹⁴, Washington, D.C., West Palm Beach, Westchester County.

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ¹Greenberg Traurig's Abu Dhabi office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., which is registered with the Abu Dhabi Global Market Registration Authority (Registration No. 29906) and licensed to carry out legal services and regulated as a DNFBP by the ADGM Financial Services Regulatory Authority. ²Greenberg Traurig's Berlin and Munich offices are operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, LLP, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ³Greenberg Traurig's Dubai office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Limited, a company registered in the Dubai International Financial Centre (Registration No. CL7238), regulated as a DNFBP by the Dubai Financial Services Authority and licensed by The Government of Dubai Legal Affairs Department. ⁴Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. ⁵Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ⁶Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Studio Legal Associato, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ⁷Greenberg Traurig operates in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia through Greenberg Traurig Khalid Al-Thebity Law Firm, a professional limited liability company, licensed to practice law by the Ministry of Justice. ⁸Greenberg Traurig's São Paulo office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Brazil Consultores em Direito Estrangeiro – Direito Estadunidense, incorporated in Brazil as a foreign legal consulting firm. Attorneys in the São Paulo office do not practice Brazilian law. ⁹Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ¹⁰Greenberg Traurig's Singapore office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Singapore LLP which is licensed as a foreign law practice in Singapore. ¹¹Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ¹²Greenberg Traurig's Tokyo Office is operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho and Greenberg Traurig Gaikokuhojimubengoshi Jimusho, affiliates of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ¹³Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2026 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.