GreenbergTraurig

Alert | Export Controls & Economic Sanctions

June 2026

AI Company Anthropic Suspends Access to Claude Fable 5, Claude Mythos 5 Following US Export Control Directive

Go-To Guide

- On June 12, 2026, Anthropic announced it had disabled access to Claude Fable 5 and Claude Mythos 5 for all customers after receiving a U.S. government export control directive requiring Anthropic to suspend access to those models by foreign nationals.
- This represents an escalation in the use of export controls by the United States to restrict access to frontier AI models by foreign nationals, which has broad implications for development and deployment of AI tools.
- For AI developers and their customers, this development requires companies to evaluate the need for internal controls to evaluate the nationality of AI users, the extent of AI model integrations and enterprise tools, and what steps may be needed now to manage compliance and business continuity.

On June 12, 2026, Anthropic stated that the U.S. government had directed it to suspend access to Claude Fable 5 and Claude Mythos 5 by foreign nationals, whether located inside or outside the United States, including Anthropic's own foreign national employees. In response, Anthropic disabled access to those models for all customers. Anthropic said its other models, including Claude Opus 4.8, remain available.

Background

In its June 12 public release, Anthropic indicated that the U.S. government's concerns relate to a possible method of bypassing, or "jailbreaking," safeguards intended to limit Fable 5's use for certain cybersecurity-related tasks, including identifying software vulnerabilities. Anthropic described the issue as narrow and stated that it had not received detailed written support for the government's position. A U.S. official also confirmed that the Commerce Department had issued an export control directive suspending access to the models for foreign nationals.

This represents the first known U.S. use of export control authorities to regulate a particular AI frontier model on a national security basis. It is also important to note that the export control applied not only outside the U.S., but also to foreign nationals inside the country. This development may be especially important for companies that have embedded AI tools into business operations, software development, or customer-facing systems – especially if the integration automatically adopts the latest AI model.

Regulating access to advanced frontier AI models presents a new challenge for companies that are adopting AI. A core technical challenge is that compliance requirements are not limited to direct user logins to the AI platform. Companies may also be required to implement compliance controls to prevent export of the service via API calls, where model access has been embedded in internal tools, autonomous agents, and any system that routes prompts, code, or other data to an export-controlled AI model. This can extend to deemed exports if foreign national employees, contractors, or affiliates can use those models directly or indirectly, or if company systems automatically send their requests to those models. These issues create significant compliance obligations, not just if Fable 5 access is restored, but also for adoption of any future frontier model that may be determined to present national security concerns.

Key Takeaways

The directive targets access by foreign nationals, but the practical business impact is broader. Anthropic disabled Fable 5 and Mythos 5 for all customers, rather than attempting to segment access by nationality. Companies that already integrated into Fable 5 may experience service interruption, even where their U.S. personnel would not independently present the same access issue.

API-level integrations should be part of the immediate review. If internal tools, sales platforms, customer workflows, or code-management systems call Anthropic APIs that invoke Fable 5 or Mythos 5, companies may want to identify those integrations and consider disabling, rerouting, or otherwise remediating them unless and until lawful access is confirmed.

Companies should not assume that only "Mythos" endpoints are affected. Anthropic has stated that Fable 5 is a Mythos-class model made available for broader use with safeguards and that Mythos 5 is the same underlying model with certain safeguards lifted. Notably, while Fable 5 was publicly available to general users, Mythos 5 was a special release with more limited distribution, meaning that companies exposed to the directive may vary depending on which model or release tier they had access to. As a result, companies may wish to consider reviewing model names, aliases, routing logic, fallback behavior, and vendor documentation to determine whether any production or testing environment still points to Fable 5, Mythos 5, or related endpoint identifiers.

Companies with foreign national users should review access controls. Companies should consider mapping which users, business units, and jurisdictions had access to Fable 5 or Mythos 5; determining whether any foreign nationals inside the United States or abroad could access those models directly or indirectly; and confirming whether any providers continue to route traffic to those models through managed services or secondary platforms.

Switching to another model may address continuity needs, but it does not eliminate the need for a compliance review. Anthropic has indicated that other models remain available, including Claude Opus 4.8. Before rerouting workloads, companies should assess whether internal policies, customer commitments, data handling restrictions, validation procedures, or sector-specific regulatory requirements require additional review before switching to an alternative model.

Practical Implications

Companies using Anthropic models across international operations may want to act quickly. However, regardless of the model being used, companies should reevaluate their compliance controls for any frontier model so that they are prepared in the event other models face export controls in the future. Practical considerations include:

- Identifying all direct and indirect integrations that could invoke frontier models;
- Confirming whether foreign national employees, contractors, or affiliates have access through enterprise applications, coding environments, or automated workflows;
- Disabling or rerouting any integration with export-controlled endpoints;
- Preserving internal records showing when access was suspended and what substitute model, if any, was deployed; and
- Reviewing vendor arrangements to determine whether a provider could continue routing requests to a suspended model unless the company takes steps to stop it.

In the short-term, if a sales platform, coding environment, or code-management tool used by international employees includes API-level integrations into Claude that can call Fable 5 or Mythos 5, assess readiness to block or reroute that access until the scope of the directive becomes clearer.

Authors

This GT Alert was prepared by:

- Kara M. Bombach | +1 202.533.2334 | Kara.Bombach@gtlaw.com
- Kieran Dwyer | +1 612.600.0402 | Kieran.Dwyer@gtlaw.com
- Joel E. Roberson | +1 202.331.3134 | Joel.Roberson@gtlaw.com
- Sonali Dohale | +1 202.533.2381 | dohales@gtlaw.com
- Miranda R. Carnes | +1 202.530.8549 | Miranda.Carnes@gtlaw.com

*This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ˝Greenberg Traurig's Abu Dhabi office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., which is registered with the Abu Dhabi Global Market Registration Authority (Registration No. 29906) and licensed to carry out legal services and regulated as a DNFBP by the ADGM Financial Services Regulatory Authority. ¬Greenberg Traurig's Berlin and Munich offices are operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, LLP, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ‹Greenberg Traurig's Dubai office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Limited, a company registered in the Dubai International Financial Centre (Registration No. CL7238), regulated as a DNFBP by the Dubai Financial Services Authority and licensed by The Government of Dubai Legal Affairs Department. *Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. »Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Studio Legal Associato, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. «Greenberg Traurig operates in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia through Greenberg Traurig Khalid Al-Thebity Law Firm, a professional limited liability company, licensed to practice law by the Ministry of Justice. ›Greenberg Traurig's São Paulo office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Brazil Consultores em Direito Estrangeiro – Direito Estadunidense, incorporated in Brazil as a foreign legal consulting firm. Attorneys in the São Paulo office do not practice Brazilian law. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ⁼Greenberg Traurig's Singapore office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Singapore LLP which is licensed as a foreign law practice in Singapore. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ¤Greenberg Traurig's Tokyo Office is operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho and Greenberg Traurig Gaikokuhojimubengoshi Jimusho, affiliates of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. *