

July 8, 2014

Stepped Up Enforcement Against Inadequate Negative Option/Automatic-Renewal Disclosures

Negative options have been defined by the FTC as commercial transactions in which sellers interpret a customer's failure to take an affirmative action, either to reject an offer or cancel an agreement, as assent to be charged for goods or services. Common forms include continuity plans or the automatic renewal of subscription services pursuant to which a company extends on a payable basis a risk-free trial subscription, or renews a subscribed service by charging a consumer's credit card or telephone service unless the consumer has affirmatively cancelled the service or the continued delivery of products.

Consumer complaints to the FTC and state enforcement agencies have risen dramatically since the growth of the Internet. Consumers often claim that they are not adequately informed about, or have not agreed to, automatic renewals or the payable continuation of free trials, price increases on renewal, how to cancel, and/or have not received full refunds upon cancelling the service or delivery of further goods. This has led to increased federal and state enforcement agency actions, state legislation, and, more recently, consumer class actions. Accordingly, companies engaged in selling goods or services on a negative option or automatic-renewal basis should be careful to comply with applicable FTC and state statutory requirements.

The FTC issued a Trade Regulation Rule in 1973 establishing basic requirements for companies engaging in the practice. Over the years, the FTC has brought actions challenging companies alleged to have failed to comply with its Negative Option Rule or otherwise: (i) by not disclosing the material terms of an offer before consumers have incurred a financial obligation; (ii) by not making such disclosures clearly or conspicuously or in an understandable manner; (iii) by not obtaining a consumer's affirmative consent to the offer; and (iv) by impeding the effective operation of cancellation procedures.

A recent form of alleged abuse occurs when a company sends text messages to consumers promising free gift cards or rewards upon entering personal information, such as a mobile phone number or PIN. According to the FTC, the consumer often is either given no notice or inadequate notice that confirming his or her number leads to continuous monthly charges for "Premium" text messaging services. But the risks can apply to any automatic-renewal offer when the full terms are not disclosed clearly and conspicuously.

Increasing the legal risks, a number of states have recently passed statutes addressing negative option/automatic renewal practices, and even adding further obligations beyond those imposed under the FTC Negative Option Rule. Such states include California, Connecticut, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Louisiana, and North Carolina.

The state statutes usually provide that a violation will also constitute an unfair or deceptive trade practice, thereby allowing for remedies such as restitution of payments made by consumers and recovery of attorneys' fees. Some states also provide—as does the FTC—that products delivered after

notice of cancellation are to be considered free gifts to the consumer. As a result of these statutory developments, consumer class actions have started to be brought—particularly in California—claiming violations of the applicable state statutes and seeking restitution for members of the alleged classes.

In the current environment, companies offering goods or services with negative options or other forms of automatic renewals should review the federal and state obligations to help avoid consumer suits. A company generally can comply with the federal and state laws by doing the following:

- > Provide clear and conspicuous disclosure of the full terms of the offer upfront.
- > Obtain unambiguous, affirmative consent from the consumer for any automatic charge or renewal.
- Provide timely reminders to the consumer prior to charging for any auto renewal, including clear and conspicuous written notice of cancellation rights prior to the end of a free or low-rate initial period.
- > Provide an easy-to-use cancellation mechanism, such as a toll-free telephone number and/or email address for cancellation.

This *GT Alert* was written by **Irving Scher** and **Ed Chansky**. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- Irving Scher | +1 212.801.9321 | scheri@gtlaw.com
- > Ed Chansky | +1 702.599.8016 | chanskye@gtlaw.com
- > Or your <u>Greenberg Traurig</u> attorney

Albany	Denver	New York	Shanghai
+1 518.689.1400	+1 303.572.6500	+1 212.801.9200	+86 (21) 6391.6633
Amsterdam	Fort Lauderdale	Northern Virginia	Silicon Valley
+31 (0) 20 301 7300	+1 954.765.0500	+1 703.749.1300	+1 650.328.8500
Atlanta	Houston	Orange County	Tallahassee
+1 678.553.2100	+1 713.374.3500	+1 949.732.6500	+1 850.222.6891
Austin	Las Vegas	Orlando	Tampa
+1 512.320.7200	+1 702.792.3773	+1 407.420.1000	+1 813.318.5700
Boca Raton	London*	Philadelphia	Tel Aviv^
+1 561.955.7600	+44 (0) 203 349 8700	+1 215.988.7800	+972 (0) 3 636 6000
Boston	Los Angeles	Phoenix	Warsaw~
+1 617.310.6000	+1 310.586.7700	+1 602.445.8000	+48 22 690 6100
Chicago	Mexico City+	Sacramento	Washington, D.C.
+1 312.456.8400	+52 (1) 55 5029 0000	+1 916.442.1111	+1 202.331.3100
Dallas	Miami	San Francisco	Westchester County
+1 214.665.3600	+1 305.579.0500	+1 415.655.1300	+1 914.286.2900
Delaware	New Jersey	Seoul∞	West Palm Beach
+1 302.661.7000	+1 973.360.7900	+82 (0) 2 369 1000	+1 561.650.7900

This Greenberg Traurig Client Advisory is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. *Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. **Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞ Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2014 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.