

ALERT

Corporate & Securities | December 2014



Time is Running Out for Large Scale Solar Projects

Time may be running out for large scale photovoltaic and other solar electric generating projects due to a looming statutory reduction in the federal investment tax for such projects (the ITC). The ITC is the primary federal tax subsidiary for solar electric generating projects. For solar electric generation projects that are "placed in service" before Jan. 1, 2017, the ITC is 30 percent of the eligible tax basis of the project. For solar electric generation projects placed in service on or after Jan. 1, 2017, the ITC drops to 10 percent of the eligible tax basis.

Many solar electric generating projects will be economically unviable at the reduced ITC rate. Large scale solar electric generating projects (20MW or greater) can easily take up to two years to design, permit and construct. Thus, a large scale solar project just going into development today will be hard pressed to make the Dec. 31, 2016 placed in service deadline. If there is any significant risk that the project could miss this deadline, the project developer may cancel the project entirely. Case in point is the recently cancelled 500 MW Palen Solar Project that was to be located in Riverside County, California. The developers of that project cited the potential inability to place the project in service by the Dec. 31, 2016 deadline as one of the reasons for the cancellation of the project.

To make matters worse, there is no bright line test to determine precisely when a solar project has been "placed in service" for ITC purposes. Instead, the IRS (and ultimately the Courts) apply a subjective facts-and-circumstances analysis, which considers the following factors to determine whether a solar project has been placed in service for ITC purposes: (i) receipt of required licenses and permits to operate the project; (ii) passage of control of the project to the owner from the construction contractor, (iii) completion of critical tests, (iv) connection of the project to the local electric grid, and (v) most importantly, the ability to generate and sell electricity in commercial quantities (even if not at the project's rated capacity). This lack of a bright line "placed in service" test puts further pressure on the developer to make certain the solar project is operating well in advance of the Dec. 31, 2016 deadline.



The primary federal tax subsidy for wind and hydroelectric generating projects is the Production Tax Credit (PTC), which was allowed to expire except for projects for which "construction commenced" prior to Jan. 1, 2014. By definition, the "commenced construction" requirement is significantly easier to meet than the "placed in service requirement." In addition, the IRS has provided bright line guidance to determine whether construction of a project has commenced for PTC purposes. The solar electric industry has lobbied to replace the Dec. 31, 2016 "placed in service" deadline with a "commenced construction" deadline, and there has been some support for this proposal in both the House and Senate. Under this proposal, if passed, a solar project could qualify for the 30 percent ITC even if the project is not placed in service by Dec. 31, 2016, so long as construction of the project commenced before then. This would provide welcome breathing room for proposed large scale solar projects currently on the drawing board. Unfortunately, passage of this proposal is far from certain.

In light of the uncertainty of an extension or modification of the Dec. 31, 2016 placed in service deadline for 30 percent ITCs, developers of new large scale solar projects will need to move quickly to make certain the projects satisfy the subjective "placed in service" rules well before then.

This GT Alert was prepared by Andrew W. Scher. Questions can be directed to:

- > Andrew W. Scher | +1 973.443.3298 | schera@gtlaw.com
- > Or your Greenberg Traurig attorney





Albany +1 518.689.1400 Amsterdam +31 (0) 20 301 7300

Atlanta +1 678.553.2100 Austin

+1 512.320.7200 Boca Raton

+1 561.955.7600 **Boston**

+1 617.310.6000 Chicago

+1 312.456.8400

+1 214.665.3600

Delaware +1 302.661.7000 **Denver** +1 303.572.6500

Fort Lauderdale +1 954.765.0500

+1 713.374.3500

Houston

Las Vegas +1 702.792.3773

London* +44 (0) 203 349 8700

Los Angeles +1 310.586.7700

Mexico City+ +52 (1) 55 5029 0000

+1 305.579.0500

New Jersey +1 973.360.7900 New York +1 212.801.9200

Northern Virginia +1 703.749.1300

Orange County +1 949.732.6500

Orlando +1 407.420.1000

Philadelphia +1 215.988.7800

Phoenix +1 602.445.8000

Sacramento +1 916.442.1111

San Francisco +1 415.655.1300

Seoul∞ +82 (0) 2 369 1000 Shanghai

+86 (21) 6391.6633

Silicon Valley +1 650.328.8500

Tallahassee +1 850.222.6891

Tampa

+1 813.318.5700

Tel Aviv^

+972 (0) 3 636 6000

Warsaw~

+48 22 690 6100

Washington, D.C. +1 202.331.3100

West Palm Beach +1 561.650.7900

White Plains +1 914.286.2900

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. *Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. **Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and

Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2014 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.