



The NLRB's New 'Quickie' Election Rules Take Effect Despite Pending Court Challenges

On April 14, 2015 the National Labor Relations Board's (NLRB) new union election rules (Election Rules) went into effect. As discussed in a prior [GT Alert](#), the NLRB adopted the new rules by a 3-2 vote with the two Republican NLRB members dissenting. As outlined below, the Election Rules make significant changes to the Board's procedures for processing election petitions, holding hearings, and conducting secret-ballot elections. Most significantly, the Election Rules pave the way for union elections to be held in as few as 14–21 days after the filing of a union petition, a dramatic decrease from the current median time of 38 days.

Among other things, under the new rules:

- > Unions can file election petitions with the NLRB Regional Offices and serve them directly on employers electronically rather than in-person, mail, or facsimile filing like under the old rules.
- > Together with a petition, the Regional Offices will serve employers with a new detailed election notice that must be posted and distributed immediately to employees by email where the employer customarily communicates with employees by email. Under the old rules, there is no such posting requirement.
- > Hearings will now be scheduled for the eighth day after service of petition in all but extraordinary circumstances.
- > Employers will be required to file and serve on the union a detailed statement of position on any issue that may possibly be heard at an evidentiary hearing on the petition by noon the day before the scheduled hearing. Failure to raise an issue in the position statement will result in a waiver from presenting evidence on the issue. This marks a significant change from the old rules which do not require pre-hearing disclosures.

- > The employer's statement of position must also include a list of names, work locations, shifts, and job classifications of all employees in the bargaining unit sought by the union. If the employer contends that the bargaining unit sought by the union is not appropriate, it must identify the most similar unit it concedes to be appropriate. Failure to include detailed information regarding its position on the composition and scope of the bargaining unit will result in a waiver of an employer's right to contest the appropriateness of the bargaining unit. The practical result of this change is that unions will now get access to the employer's personnel information just a week after the petition is filed.
- > Unless issues involving the composition and scope of the bargaining unit could have a "substantial impact" on the outcome of an election, those issues will not be litigated at a pre-election hearing. Instead, the employees whose inclusion or exclusion from the bargaining unit is disputed will be required to vote subject to challenge, and their status will be determined in a post-election hearing if the challenges are sufficient to affect the results of the election. Whether an individual is an employee who is eligible to vote in an election or a statutory supervisor who is not eligible to vote is often a contested issue that must be resolved through litigation. This rule change deprives employers of the right to resolve important supervisory status issues before the campaign, and creates increased risk and legal uncertainty if individuals are treated as supervisors rather than employees during the campaign.
- > In most instances, post-hearing briefs will not be allowed. The parties will be required to present oral arguments at the end of the hearing. Under the old rules, parties in representation cases had the right to file post-hearing briefs. The elimination of the right to file post-hearing briefs will result in most elections being scheduled much more quickly than under the old rules.
- > Elections are to be held "at the earliest date practicable" after a Regional Director issues a decision and direction of election. Under the old rules, there was a 25-day minimum between the date a petition was filed and date an election could be held. As a result of the new rules, it is likely that in some cases, elections could be held as soon as 14 to 21 days from the date a petition is filed.
- > The Election Rules expand the Excelsior list requirement to include employees' work locations, shifts, job classifications, and the employees' home telephone numbers and personal email addresses. The list must now be filed just two days after the decision and direction of election.
- > NLRB review of the Regional Directors' decisions and directions of election and rulings on post-election challenges and objections to pre-election conduct by the union, or conduct of the NLRB agent affecting the election, will be discretionary under the Election Rules. Under the old rules, parties had the right to a review of these matters by the NLRB. As a result, there are likely to be many Regional Directors' decisions that the full NLRB never reviews.

Legal challenges to the Election Rules are still pending as of April 14, 2015. Consequently, the Election Rules are now in effect and will govern all union election petitions filed on or after that date, unless a court enjoins the rules at some point in the future. The Election Rules will give employers little time to engage in campaigning once a petition is filed and impose significant procedural requirements that will divert a substantial amount of time away from the already short period of time available for campaigning. An employer's failure to meet the procedural requirements will prejudice its ability to win an election, and if it does win, could cause the election to be set aside if the union files objections. Accordingly, employers that have non-union workforces, or partially non-union workforces, should

consider implementing programs to educate their employees about unionization before they become aware that they may be targets of a union organizing campaign. Employers also should be sure that they have access to experienced management labor counsel as soon as they are served with a petition for an NLRB election.

This *GT Alert* was prepared by **Howard L. Mocerf**, **Terence P. McCourt** and **Justin F. Keith**. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- > [Howard L. Mocerf](mailto:mocerfh@gtlaw.com) | +1 312.456.8407 | mocerfh@gtlaw.com
- > [Terence P. McCourt](mailto:mccourt@gtlaw.com) | +1 617.310.6246 | mccourt@gtlaw.com
- > [Justin F. Keith](mailto:keithj@gtlaw.com) | +1 617.310.6230 | keithj@gtlaw.com
- > Any member of Greenberg Traurig's [Labor & Employment Group](#)
- > Or your [Greenberg Traurig](#) attorney

For more insight into labor and employment issues, please visit the [GT L&E Blog](#).

Albany 518.689.1400	Denver 303.572.6500	New York 212.801.9200	Shanghai +86 21 6391 6633
Amsterdam + 31 20 301 7300	Fort Lauderdale 954.765.0500	Northern Virginia 703.749.1300	Silicon Valley 650.328.8500
Atlanta 678.553.2100	Houston 713.374.3500	Orange County 949.732.6500	Tallahassee 850.222.6891
Austin 512.320.7200	Las Vegas 702.792.3773	Orlando 407.420.1000	Tampa 813.318.5700
Boca Raton 561.955.7600	London* +44 (0)203 349 8700	Philadelphia 215.988.7800	Tel Aviv^ +03.636.6000
Boston 617.310.6000	Los Angeles 310.586.7700	Phoenix 602.445.8000	Tokyo[‡] +81 (0)3 3216 7211
Chicago 312.456.8400	Mexico City+ +52 55 5029.0000	Sacramento 916.442.1111	Warsaw[~] +48 22 690 6100
Dallas 214.665.3600	Miami 305.579.0500	San Francisco 415.655.1300	Washington, D.C. 202.331.3100
Delaware 302.661.7000	New Jersey 973.360.7900	Seoul[∞] 82-2-369-1000	Westchester County 914.286.2900
			West Palm Beach 561.650.7900

*This Greenberg Traurig Newsletter is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. *Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. **Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ‡Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by Greenberg Traurig Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2015 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*