



IRS and DOJ To Build New Tax Fraud Cases Using Data Collected in Voluntary Compliance Programs

On May 8, 2015, the United States Department of Justice announced that it had entered into a “non-prosecution agreement” (NPA) with Swiss bank Vadian Bank AG under the Department of Justice’s (DOJ) Swiss bank program. In its press release announcing the NPA, the DOJ said, “the department has opened investigations of culpable individuals and entities based on information obtained from Swiss banks, and will pursue and prosecute those engaged or assisting others in evading their U.S. tax obligations.” The very next day, May 9, 2015, a high ranking Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigations (IRS-CI) official announced at an industry gathering that IRS-CI was using data collected from prior offshore enforcement and will now be targeting banks in other countries. Thus, it is clear that the IRS and DOJ are in the process of collecting evidence and focusing on new targets and jurisdictions in connection with their ongoing offshore tax enforcement regime. International banks that have provided services to U.S. taxpayers need to be aware that enforcement in their jurisdictions is likely.

In recent years, the IRS has repeatedly listed offshore tax enforcement as a top priority for IRS Criminal Investigations (IRS-CI). The term offshore tax enforcement refers to the IRS’s crackdown on U.S. taxpayers who hide income and assets outside of the United States. In connection with this crackdown, the DOJ has criminally prosecuted banks and individuals for hiding income and assets in offshore accounts.

At the same time, the IRS and DOJ have employed various offshore voluntary disclosure programs to permit foreign financial institutions and individuals to become compliant, pay fines, and avoid criminal prosecution. These programs require the participants to provide information to United States law enforcement about other institutions and individuals engaged in offshore tax avoidance conduct. For example, in August 2013, the IRS and DOJ announced the Swiss bank program. The purpose of the program is to allow Swiss banks to become compliant and avoid prosecution.

Under that program, Swiss financial institutions were required to agree to pay substantial penalties; make a complete disclosure of their cross-border activities; provide detailed information on an account-by-account basis for accounts in which U.S. taxpayers have a direct or indirect interest; cooperate in treaty requests for account information; provide detailed information as to other banks that transferred funds into secret accounts or that accepted funds when secret accounts were closed; and agree to close accounts of account holders who fail to come into compliance with U.S. reporting obligations. The banks are also required to provide information regarding any intermediaries that helped move money from the secret accounts, including asset managers, financial advisors, trustees, nominees, accountants, and lawyers. In exchange, the participating Swiss banks would be eligible to receive a non-prosecution agreement from the Department. It is estimated that 60-70 Swiss banks are participating in the program. So far, the Department has entered into the two non-prosecution agreements, the most recent one with Vadian Bank AG in Switzerland.

With regard to individual U.S. tax-payers, the IRS and DOJ have allowed individuals to report their offshore unreported accounts, limit their civil penalties, and avoid criminal prosecution, through a series of programs commonly referred to as “Offshore Voluntary Disclosure Program” (OVDP). According to the IRS, the OVDP has been successful with more than 45,000 participants and over \$6.5 billion in tax and penalties collected. In the ODVP, taxpayers must report the foreign institutions that held their accounts and identify all individuals who assisted them evade U.S. tax, including all foreign bank personnel.

It is clear that the DOJ and IRS are using the data collected in the Swiss bank program and OVDP to build additional cases in other jurisdictions. For example, in April 2013, the IRS served a “John Doe” summons on a U.S. bank for records related to a correspondent bank account held by FirstCaribbean International Bank (FCIB). A “John Doe” summons is a court order that allows the IRS to collect records from third parties that the IRS believes will contain evidence of tax fraud. With regard to FCIB, the DOJ stated that it believed FCIB was aiding U.S. taxpayers in hiding income and assets in accounts located throughout the Caribbean, an area that DOJ referred to as a “part of the world . . . widely known to permit banking services” that would aid in concealing unreported income. In establishing that it believed the records of FCIB would contain evidence of tax fraud, the IRS cited to specific evidence collected through the OVDP program. The “John Doe” summons shows that the data being collected through OVDP will not simply be stockpiled – but it will be used by the IRS to make new enforcement cases in jurisdictions far from Switzerland.

All of this means that that foreign financial institutions and intermediaries need to be vigilant and consider if they have any potential exposure. Likewise, U.S. taxpayers that bank at foreign institutions and are not reporting their bank accounts or assets also need to seriously consider how they can take steps to become compliant. The IRS and DOJ may be winding up their actions in Switzerland, but offshore tax enforcement remains a high priority in virtually any other country across the globe.

Related GT Alerts

- 6/20/14: [The 2014 Voluntary Disclosure Program: Important Changes for the Still Non-Compliant Taxpayer with Foreign Accounts](#)

This *GT Alert* was prepared by **Jed Dwyer, Barbara T. Kaplan, G. Michelle Ferreira, Scott E Fink, Courtney A. Hopley, and Jeremiah Coder**. Questions about this information can be directed to the following members of the Tax Audit and Litigation Group:

- [Jed Dwyer](mailto:dwyerje@gtlaw.com) | +1 305.579.0564 | dwyerje@gtlaw.com
- [Barbara T. Kaplan](mailto:kaplanb@gtlaw.com) | +1 212.801.9250 | kaplanb@gtlaw.com
- [G. Michelle Ferreira](mailto:ferreiram@gtlaw.com) | +1 415.655.1305 | ferreiram@gtlaw.com
- [Scott E. Fink](mailto:finks@gtlaw.com) | +1 212.801.6955 | finks@gtlaw.com
- [Courtney A. Hopley](mailto:hopleyc@gtlaw.com) | +1 415.655.1314 | hopleyc@gtlaw.com
- [Jeremiah Coder](mailto:coderj@gtlaw.com) | +1 415.655.1278 | coderj@gtlaw.com
- Or, your [Greenberg Traurig](#) attorney

Albany +1 518.689.1400	Denver +1 303.572.6500	New York +1 212.801.9200	Shanghai +86 (0) 21 6391 6633
Amsterdam +31 (0) 20 301 7300	Fort Lauderdale +1 954.765.0500	Northern Virginia +1 703.749.1300	Silicon Valley +1 650.328.8500
Atlanta +1 678.553.2100	Houston +1 713.374.3500	Orange County +1 949.732.6500	Tallahassee +1 850.222.6891
Austin +1 512.320.7200	Las Vegas +1 702.792.3773	Orlando +1 407.420.1000	Tampa +1 813.318.5700
Boca Raton +1 561.955.7600	London* +44 (0) 203 349 8700	Philadelphia +1 215.988.7800	Tel Aviv[^] +972 (0) 3 636 6000
Boston +1 617.310.6000	Los Angeles +1 310.586.7700	Phoenix +1 602.445.8000	Tokyo[^] +81 (0)3 3216 7211
Chicago +1 312.456.8400	Mexico City⁺ +52 (1) 55 5029 0000	Sacramento +1 916.442.1111	Warsaw[~] +48 22 690 6100
Dallas +1 214.665.3600	Miami +1 305.579.0500	San Francisco +1 415.655.1300	Washington, D.C. +1 202.331.3100
Delaware +1 302.661.7000	New Jersey +1 973.360.7900	Seoul[∞] +82 (0) 2 369 1000	Westchester County +1 914.286.2900
			West Palm Beach +1 561.650.7900

Tax Advice Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS under Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein.

*This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. *Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. **Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ^Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2015 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*