

## **ALERT**

Labor & Employment | June 2015



## Cook County 'Piggybacks' on State of Illinois and City of Chicago Employee Credit Privacy Laws

Joining a growing trend among states and local governments, the Cook County, Illinois Human Rights Ordinance was amended effective as of June 1, 2015 to add an employee or applicant's credit history or credit report as a prohibited type of employment discrimination. The amendments (Bill No. 3088) are substantially the same as the provisions of the Illinois Employee Credit Privacy Act, 820 ILCS 70/1, et seq., and those restricting the use of credit history or credit reports in making employment decisions under counterpart provisions of City of Chicago Human Rights Ordinance, Chicago Municipal Code, §1-160-010, et seq. This Alert summarizes the similarities and the few differences between these laws.

Each of the three laws prohibits employers that are subject to them from:

- > Inquiring about an applicant's or employee's credit history;
- Ordering or obtaining an applicant's or employee's credit report from a consumer reporting agency; or
- Failing or refusing to hire or recruit, fire or otherwise discriminate against any individual with respect to employment, compensation or any term, privilege or condition of employment because of the individual's credit history or credit report.

However, none of these prohibitions apply to jobs that:

- > Require bonding or other security under federal or state law;
- > Include having custody of or unsupervised access to cash or marketable assets, as defined by the laws, valued at \$2,500 or more;
- Sive the individual signatory power over business assets of \$100 or more per transaction;
- > Are managerial jobs that involving setting the direction and control of the business;



- > Involve access to personal or confidential information, financial information, trade secrets or state or national security information, as defined by the laws;
- > Meet criteria set forth in any administrative rules of the U.S. Department of Labor or Illinois Department of Labor that define the circumstances in which a credit history is a bona fide occupational qualification; or
- An applicant's or employee's credit history is otherwise required by or exempt under any other applicable law.

All three laws apply to any individual or entity that employs at least one employee or accepts applications for employment within the State of Illinois, Cook County and the City of Chicago, respectively, with the following exceptions:

- > Bank holding companies, financial holding companies, banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions or trust companies, or any subsidiary or affiliate thereof, that is authorized to do business under Illinois or U.S. law; or
- > Companies authorized to engage in any kind of insurance or surety business pursuant to the Illinois Insurance Code.

The Illinois, Cook County and City of Chicago laws differ in the following ways:

- > The Illinois law exempts from the definition of employer covered by the state law any Illinois state law enforcement agency or investigative unit, but does not exempt similar county or municipal agencies. However, the Illinois state law also exempts from the definition of employer, any Illinois state or local government agency that otherwise requires the use of an employee's or applicant's credit history or report. Pursuant to the latter exemption, the City of Chicago law exempts the City's law enforcement and investigative units. The Cook County law, somewhat differently, exempts any County law enforcement, investigative unit or agency that requires the use of an employee's credit history or credit report.
- > The Illinois law exempts from the definition of employer covered by the state law any entity that is defined as a debt collector under federal or state statute, but does not exempt a debt collector as defined under local law. The Cook County law extends this exemption to debt collectors as defined in the County ordinance. The City of Chicago law does not similarly extend the exemption.
- > The enforcement mechanisms under the three laws are different. Actions for violation of the Illinois law must be brought in the state circuit courts. Complaints for alleged violations of Cook County and City of Chicago Human Rights Ordinances must be filed before the Cook County Human Rights Commission and City of Chicago Human Relations Commission, respectively, and are subject to the exclusive administrative investigatory and adjudicatory provisions of those ordinances and the agencies' regulations. However, the Cook County Human Rights Ordinance contains provisions that allow a complainant to obtain a right to sue in the Circuit Court of Cook County under certain circumstances and, thereby, terminate the administrative proceeding. No such right exists under the City of Chicago Human Rights Ordinance.
- > The remedial provisions under these laws are similar but somewhat different. The Illinois law provides for injunctive relief, damages, costs and reasonable attorney's fees to a prevailing



employee or applicant. The Cook County Human Rights Ordinance and the City of Chicago Human Relations Enabling Ordinance specify the full panoply of the types of relief typically allowed a prevailing employee or applicant in an employment discrimination case. These include damages, reinstatement or order to hire, back pay, attorney's fees, costs, witness fees, expert witness fees, and copying fees.

While Cook County's enactment of employee credit privacy protections should have little impact on most employers who employ workers in the county or the City of Chicago in view of the existing Illinois and City of Chicago laws, it serves as a reminder to such employers to review their existing policies concerning the use of credit histories and credit reports to evaluate whether it is obtaining and using such information in a lawful manner. Further, since nine other states and some local governments have enacted similar laws, employers who obtain and use such information in making employment decisions affecting applicants or employees in other jurisdictions should be aware of any laws in those other jurisdictions that impact on their practices.

This *GT Alert* was prepared by **Howard L. Mocerf.** Requests for assistance with evaluating or drafting policies consistent with the patchwork of applicable laws and ordinances can be directed to:

- > Howard L. Mocerf | +1 312.456.8407 | mocerfh@gtlaw.com
- > Any member of Greenberg Traurig's <u>Labor & Employment Group</u>

For more insight into labor and employment issues, please visit the GT L&E Blog.





Albany

+1 518.689.1400

Amsterdam + 31 (0) 20 301 7300

Atlanta

+1 678.553.2100

Austin

+1 512.320.7200

**Boca Raton** 

+1 561.955.7600

Boston

+1 617.310.6000

Chicago

+1 312.456.8400

Dallas

+1 214.665.3600

Delaware +1 302.661.7000

+1 303.572.6500

Fort Lauderdale +1 954.765.0500

Houston

Denver

+1 713.374.3500

Las Vegas

+1 702.792.3773

London\*

+44 (0) 203 349 8700

Los Angeles +1 310.586.7700

Mexico City+

+52 (1) 55 5029.0000

+1 305.579.0500

**New Jersey** 

+1 973.360.7900

**New York** 

+1 212.801.9200

**Northern Virginia** +1 703.749.1300

**Orange County** 

+1 949.732.6500

Orlando

+1 407.420.1000

Philadelphia

+1 215.988.7800

+1 602.445.8000

Sacramento

+1 916.442.1111

San Francisco +1 415.655.1300

Seoul∞

+82 (0) 2 369 1000

Shanghai

+86 (0) 21 6391 6633

Silicon Valley

+1 650.328.8500

Tallahassee

+1 850.222.6891

Tampa

+1 813.318.5700

Tel Aviv^

+972 (0) 3 636 6000

Tokyo<sup>\*</sup>

+81 (0)3 3216 7211

Warsaw~

+48 22 690 6100

Washington, D.C.

+1 202.331.3100

**Westchester County** 

+ 1 914.286.2900

**West Palm Beach** +1 561.650.7900

This Greenberg Traurig Newsletter is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Trauria contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. \*Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. \*\*Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. "Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. "Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2015 Greenberg Trauria, LLP. All rights reserved.