GT GreenbergTraurig



June 2015

Supreme Court Rules Same-Sex Marriage is a Fundamental Right

On June 26, 2015, in a 5-4 decision authored by Justice Kennedy, the Supreme Court struck down the laws of those states that preclude same-sex marriage and also held that each state must recognize same-sex marriages lawfully performed in other states. The Court held state laws invalidating same-sex marriage violated both the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the 14th Amendment. Chief Justice Roberts, Justices Scalia, Thomas, and Alito dissented.

The *Obergefell v. Hodges* opinion, which reverses the Sixth Circuit, combined four challenges to same-sex marriage bans in Kentucky, Tennessee, Ohio, and Michigan. The petitioners, 14 same-sex couples and two men whose same-sex partners had died, challenged state laws that either prevented them from marrying or that prevented the state in which they reside from recognizing their marriage which taken place in another state. For example, Petitioner Obergefell married his long-time partner in Maryland, where same-sex marriage is legal. However, as a resident of Ohio, which does not allow same-sex marriage, when Obergefell's partner died, he could not be listed as the surviving spouse on his partner's death certificate. The petitioners in Michigan challenged a law that only permitted opposite-sex married couples or single individuals to adopt children. Thus, if an emergency were to arise, schools and hospitals treated the children as if they only had one parent.

Justice Kennedy first addressed the history and tradition of marriage and recognized that the concept of marriage has evolved over time. However, according to the opinion, the Court has consistently recognized that the right to marry is protected by the Constitution. Justice Kennedy opined that the reasons marriage is fundamental under the Constitution should apply with equal force to same-sex couples. Thus, the refusal to recognize same-sex marriage and denying such couples a fundamental right, stigmatizes and gravely harms both them and their children.

The Court then recognized the many benefits associated with marriage, including but not limited to health insurance, taxation, inheritance and property rights, spousal privilege, hospital access, medical decision-making authority, and workers' compensation benefits. Denying same-sex couples the benefits afforded to opposite-sex couples is a violation of

both the Equal Protection Clause and Due Process Clause. The Court rejected Respondents' argument that this should remain a state issue, reasoning individuals should not have to wait for legislative action to assert a fundamental right. Accordingly, state laws precluding same-sex marriage are now invalid and consequently states must recognize same-sex marriages lawfully performed in other states.

While same-sex marriage is already allowed in 37 states and Washington D.C., the judgment compels the remaining 13 states to perform and to recognize same sex marriages. Those benefits that the Court listed, such as health insurance and workers' compensation, will now apply to all legally-married couples, regardless of their sexual orientation and the state in which they were married.

This GT Alert was prepared by Hilarie Bass and Rebecca H. Silk. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- > Hilarie Bass | +1 305.579.0745 | bassh@gtlaw.com
- > Rebecca H. Silk | +1 678.553.7385 | silkr@gtlaw.com
- > Or your Greenberg Traurig attorney

Albany	Denver	New York	Shanghai
+1 518.689.1400	+1 303.572.6500	+1 212.801.9200	+86 21 6391 6633
Amsterdam	Fort Lauderdale	Northern Virginia	Silicon Valley +1 650.328.8500
+ 31 20 301 7300	+1 954.765.0500	+1 703.749.1300	
Atlanta	Houston	Orange County	Tallahassee
+1 678.553.2100	+1 713.374.3500	+1 949.732.6500	+1 850.222.6891
Austin	Las Vegas	Orlando	Tampa
+1 512.320.7200	+1 702.792.3773	+1 407.420.1000	+1 813.318.5700
Boca Raton	London*	Philadelphia	Tel Aviv^
+1 561.955.7600	+44 (0)203 349 8700	+1 215.988.7800	+03.636.6000
Boston	Los Angeles	Phoenix	Tokyo¤
+1 617.310.6000	+1 310.586.7700	+1 602.445.8000	+81 (0)3 3216 7211
Chicago	Mexico City+	Sacramento	Warsaw~
+1 312.456.8400	+52 55 5029.0000	+1 916.442.1111	+48 22 690 6100
Dallas	Miami	San Francisco	Washington, D.C.
+1 214.665.3600	+1 305.579.0500	+1 415.655.1300	+1 202.331.3100
Delaware	New Jersey	Seoul∞	Westchester County
+1 302.661.7000	+1 973.360.7900	+1 82-2-369-1000	+1 914.286.2900
			Mark Dalas Darak

West Palm Beach +1 561.650.7900

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. *Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. **Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ¤Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by Greenberg Traurig Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2015 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.