



July 2015

Iran Nuclear Deal Reached – Prospects for Sanctions Relief

On July 14, 2015, the five permanent members of the U.N. Security Council (China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the United States) plus Germany (the P5+1), and Iran announced that they had reached a final deal regarding Iran's nuclear program, the so-called Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA).

Under the agreement, if it abides by its nuclear-related commitments and meets International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) verification, Iran will receive limited sanctions relief.

The JCPOA requires the P5+1 and Iran to agree to certain compromises. Specifically, Iran agrees to the following for 15 years:

- > A reduction in the number of Iranian centrifuges by two-thirds;
- > A ban on nuclear enrichment at certain key facilities and a cap on total enrichment;
- > Limits on uranium research and development at the Natanz facility; and
- > A limit on the nuclear stockpile to 300 kg.

Further, Iran must allow access to inspectors from the IAEA in perpetuity.

In exchange for these concessions, the P5+1 countries agree to a suspension of nuclear-related sanctions against Iran if Iran complies with all of its nuclear-related obligations. The parties agree to a snap-back plan in which sanctions will snap back into place within 65 days if an eight-member panel determines by majority vote that Iran is violating the nuclear provisions of the deal. The U.N. arms embargo on conventional weapons will remain in place for five years unless the IAEA conclusively determines that the Iranian nuclear program is entirely peaceful.

With respect to U.S. sanctions, importantly, the U.S. contemplates that only its nuclear-related sanctions under the JCPOA are part of the proposed sanctions relief. The U.S. currently maintains comprehensive sanctions against Iran that

restrict most U.S. person activity related to Iran. Iran remains designated as a State Sponsor of Terror by the U.S. Department of State, and certain statutory sanctions would require Congressional action to remove.

Under the Nuclear Agreement Review Act of 2015 (114 H.R. 1191), Congress now has a 60-day period to review the final agreement and vote on a joint resolution of approval or disapproval. President Obama has said that he will veto any bill that thwarts the agreement.

Before any sanctions relief is implemented, among other things, the U.N. Security Council must issue a resolution terminating all previous U.N. Security Council resolutions that impose nuclear-related sanctions on Iran, Iran must meet IAEA verification requirements, and EU and U.S. regulators must amend and adopt revised regulations.

Recognize the Limitations of Permissible Iran Activities

U.S. businesses and individuals interested in pursuing opportunities in Iran should continue to exercise extreme caution and carefully review U.S. and EU sanctions and export controls rules and regulations to determine whether proposed Iran-related activities are permissible. It is important to remember that while the final nuclear deal does call for an easing of certain sanctions against Iran (if it is approved by Congress), significant sanctions and export controls (particularly impacting U.S. companies and individuals who might deal with Iran) remain in place, and may conceivably remain in place for the foreseeable future. As of today, the U.S. sanctions against Iran remain fully in place.

Based in Washington, D.C., our [Export Controls](#) team advises and represents clients on the full range of international goods, software and technology transfer issues. We have broad experience providing export controls and related regulatory counsel to both U.S. and foreign businesses. Our industry-specific experience includes assisting companies in a wide range of industries such as aerospace, defense, firearms and ammunition, electronics, software and information technology, financial services, food, consumer products, biotechnology, medical device, and engineering services.

This *GT Alert* was prepared by **Sandra K. Jorgensen**, **Kara M. Bombach**, and **Renee A. Latour**[‡]. Questions about this information can be directed to:

- > [Kara M. Bombach](#) | +1 202.533.2334 | bombachk@gtlaw.com
- > [Michael X. Marinelli](#) | +1 512.320.7236 | marinellimx@gtlaw.com
- > [Renee A. Latour](#)[‡] | +1 202.533.2358 | latourr@gtlaw.com
- > [Julia P. Sorrentino](#) | +1 202.533.2376 | sorrentinoj@gtlaw.com
- > [Cyril T. Brennan](#) | +1 202.533.2342 | brennanct@gtlaw.com
- > [Sandra D. Gonzalez](#) | +1 512.320.7234 | gonzalezsd@gtlaw.com
- > [Sandra K. Jorgensen](#) | +1 202.530.8569 | jorgensens@gtlaw.com

[‡]Admitted to practice only in Virginia. Practice in the District of Columbia limited to matters and proceedings before federal courts and agencies.

Albany +1 518.689.1400	Denver +1 303.572.6500	New York +1 212.801.9200	Shanghai +86 (21) 6391 6633
Amsterdam + 31 (0) 20 301 7300	Fort Lauderdale +1 954.765.0500	Northern Virginia +1 703.749.1300	Silicon Valley +1 650.328.8500
Atlanta +1 678.553.2100	Houston +1 713.374.3500	Orange County +1 949.732.6500	Tallahassee +1 850.222.6891
Austin +1 512.320.7200	Las Vegas +1 702.792.3773	Orlando +1 407.420.1000	Tampa +1 813.318.5700
Boca Raton +1 561.955.7600	London* +44 (0) 203 349 8700	Philadelphia +1 215.988.7800	Tel Aviv^ +972 (0) 3 636 6000
Boston +1 617.310.6000	Los Angeles +1 310.586.7700	Phoenix +1 602.445.8000	Tokyo‡ +81 (0)3 3216 7211
Chicago +1 312.456.8400	Mexico City+ +52 (1) 55 5029.0000	Sacramento +1 916.442.1111	Warsaw~ +48 22 690 6100
Dallas +1 214.665.3600	Miami +1 305.579.0500	San Francisco +1 415.655.1300	Washington, D.C. +1 202.331.3100
Delaware +1 302.661.7000	New Jersey +1 973.360.7900	Seoul∞ +82 (0) 2 369 1000	Westchester County +1 914.286.2900
			West Palm Beach +1 561.650.7900

*This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. *Operates as Greenberg Traurig Maher LLP. **Greenberg Traurig is not responsible for any legal or other services rendered by attorneys employed by the strategic alliance firms. +Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ∞Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. ^Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. ‡Greenberg Traurig Tokyo Law Offices are operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in Greenberg Traurig Grzesiak sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2015 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*