



January 2012

Equity vs. Non-Equity Club Dynamics

How will the Great Recession and changing club market conditions change a developer's decision about whether to structure a club as an equity (i.e., nonprofit member-owned) club vs. a non-equity (for profit) club? The traditional view held that developers favor the equity club structure for high-end communities and the non-equity structure for more affordable housing communities, based on purchasers' preferences. Owners of high-end residences were more likely to want to own their club than were owners of moderately priced residences.

Based on the tsunami of club closures, foreclosures and bankruptcies, a developer today will more likely want to structure the club so as not to own it on a long-term basis. Developers will not opt to create golf and club operating divisions as some large developers planned in the past.

Although very few developers will want to own clubs, the residential purchaser market demand will continue to drive the developer's decision. The economy and changing market seem to have reinforced the traditional concept of high-end property owners favoring equity and middle and lower end owners favoring non-equity. Property owners with significant investment in their residential real estate fear that a closed or poorly operated and maintained club will undermine their investment, so they - more than ever - want to own and control their clubs. In the past, large residential developers with good reputations for the quality of their clubs were able to convince their high-end purchasers to buy into non-equity club communities. High-end residential purchasers no longer want to depend on the developer to maintain club quality. Club members in some high-end communities have purchased their clubs (e.g., Amelia Island Club and the Club at Black Rock) or accelerated turnover (e.g., Grey Oaks Golf Club).

In contrast, property owners in middle and lower end communities seem to be more concerned that ownership of the club amenities will result in assessments for operating deficits and capital expenditures. They don't want to own the club because they fear they can't afford to operate it. Members and property owners in some communities have voted down the opportunity to purchase their club (e.g., Reynolds Plantation, which has a wide mix of home values).

So, what can a developer do when it does not want to own the club, but its prospective purchasers do not want to own the club either? Such developers can arrange for large, strongly capitalized, reputable club and golf managers to own and/or operate the club.

It will be interesting to see if the traditional equity vs. non-equity club dichotomy continues to be reinforced or changes as the market evolves.

Author

This GT Alert was prepared by:

- [Glenn A. Gerena](#) | +1 954.768.8223 | gerenag@gtlaw.com

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boston. Charlotte. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany.⁷ Houston. Las Vegas. London.* Long Island. Los Angeles. Mexico City.⁺ Miami. Milan.[»] Minneapolis. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Portland. Sacramento. Salt Lake City. San Francisco. Seoul.[∞] Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv.[^] Tokyo.[¶] Warsaw.⁻ Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ⁻Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ^{}Operates as a separate UK registered legal entity. ⁺Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, S.C., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [»]Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Santa Maria, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. [∞]Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. [^]Greenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. [¶]Greenberg Traurig's Tokyo Office is operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho and Greenberg Traurig Gaikokuhojimbengoshi Jimusho, affiliates of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ⁻Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by GREENBERG TRAUIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in GREENBERG TRAUIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2023 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.*